Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/007,472	MACKEY ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Kim T. Huynh	2112
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):		
(1) <u>Kim T. Huynh, USPTO</u> .	(3)	
(2) Robert Hinchliffe, 55,268.	(4)	
Date of Interview: 26 September 2006.		
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicant	2) <mark> applicant's representative</mark>	e]
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e)⊠ No.	
Claim(s) discussed: <u>n/a</u> .		
Identification of prior art discussed: <u>n/a</u> .		
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) № N/A.		
Substance of Interview including description of the general reached, or any other comments: Confirmed with applicant has been abandoned, no reply has received.	t, Rober Hinchliffe, reg. no 55,	268, the application is now
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amend allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no callowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached	opy of the amendments that v	
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.		
	/	
Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an	_ dimhu	ynh
Attachment to a signed Office action.	Examiner's sign	ature, if required